

\$~38

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 14961/2021 & CM APPL. 47238/2021 –Int. Dir.  
CM APPL. 47239/2021 –Ex.

M/S UNIVERSAL DISTRIBUTORS

..... Petitioner

Through Through Mr. Ninad Dogra and  
Mr. Pranav Sarthi, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, SC  
(Civil) with Mr. Arun Pawnar and  
Ms. Vrinda Singh, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**

**ORDER**

%

**24.12.2021**

**CM APPL. 47239/2021 (Exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 14961/2021 & CM APPL. 47238/2021 (Int. Dir.)**

3. The petitioner, who is running a liquor vend at Plot No. 63B, Khasra No. 24/7, Ambe Garden Extension, Libas Pur, Delhi-110042 on the basis of a valid liquor licence issued by respondent no.2, has approached this Court seeking a direction to respondent nos. 3 to 5 to take adequate steps to ensure that the petitioner is in a position to run his liquor vend without any hindrance or disturbance from the unlawful protestors sitting outside the said vend and damaging its premises
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made

various representations to the SHO Police Station Samaypur Badli seeking adequate security to the petitioner and its employees for ingress and egress to the liquor vend run by the petitioner, but no action has been taken till date.

5. Issue notice.
6. Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. He submits that keeping in view the nature of the relief sought by the petitioner, he does not propose to file any counter affidavit. He assures the Court that respondent no.5/SHO of the area concerned will ensure that adequate security is provided to the petitioner and its employees for ingress and egress to the liquor vend in question. He further assures the Court that adequate steps will be taken to ensure that no interference is caused in the smooth functioning of the aforesaid liquor vend being run by the petitioner.
7. In the light of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, no further orders are called for in the present petition, which is accordingly disposed of.
8. It is clarified that this order will, however, be subject to the continued validity of the liquor license in favour of the petitioner.

**REKHA PALLI, J**

**DECEMBER 24, 2021**

Ms/ac